REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR SENIOR SERVICES Aging Ahead CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2025 - JUNE 30, 2026

PROPOSAL CHECK LIST

Failure to include any of the following items will result in the proposal being considered non-responsive.

Accurate Budget
Identification of non-federal match budget items with an asterisk (*)
Identification of administrative costs with an "A"
Signed assurances
Copy of current insurance
Affirmative action plan
Demonstration of ability to meet requirements of the Americans With Disabilities Act
Internal monitoring plan

Total Score

SUMMARY

Maximum Points			Points Awarded
23	Criterion I	Budget Summary and Detail	
23	Criterion II	Provider Qualifications	
12	Criterion III	Quality Assurance	
42	Criterion IV	Service Delivery System	
TOTAL POINTS AWARDED			

Criterion I: Budget Summary and Detail 23 Points Maximum Score		Points Range	Points Awarded
1. <u>Proposed Aging Ahead Unit Cost</u> (Requires comparison one bid is submitted for this service)	if more than	<u>0-19</u>	
Maximum points of 19 are awarded to the lowest bidder. Remaining bidders are awarded points on a sliding scale determined as follows: (can be compared to <i>Aging Ahead</i> direct services)			
$\frac{\text{Lowest Bid}}{\text{Bid Price}} \varsigma \ 19 = \text{Points Awarded}$			
2. <u>Budget Detail and Preparation</u> (Including)			
Contributions 15%	Yes No	$\frac{1}{\underline{0}}$	
Other Cash	Yes No	$\frac{1}{0}$	
Administration Cost 12% or less	Yes No	$\frac{1}{0}$	
Non-Federal Match 15%	Yes No	$\frac{1}{0}$	
		TOTAL	

Please comment on the scores in this section:

	Criterion II: 1	Provider Qualifications	Points	Points
	23 Po	ints Maximum	Maximum Range Awarded	
1.	Status			
		Not for profit	$\frac{1}{0}$	
		For Profit	<u>0</u>	
2.	Years in Business			
		More than 5 years	<u>5</u>	
		2 to 5 years	$\frac{5}{3}$	
		Less than 2 years	<u>0</u>	
3.	Successful Contracts			
		No major write-ups	5	
		Maximum of one major write-up	$\frac{2}{3}$	
		Two or more major write-ups	$\frac{5}{3}$	
4.	Positive References			
		3 positive references	<u>5</u>	
		1 or 2 positive references	$\frac{5}{3}$	
		0 positive references	<u>0</u>	
5.	Number of Funders			
		More than 5 funders	<u>5</u>	
		2 to 5 funders	$\frac{5}{3}$	
		Only 1 funder	<u>0</u>	
6.	Minority Agency			
		Yes	<u>2</u>	
		No	<u>0</u>	

Please comment on the scores in this section.

Criterion III: Quality Assurance 12 Points Maximum	Points Range	Points Awarded
1. Fiscal and program audit attached	<u>0-2</u>	
2. Internal monitoring form/plan attached	<u>0-10</u>	
	TOTAL	

Please comment on the scores in this section.

Criterion IV: Specifications for Service Delivery 42 Points Maximum Score	Points Range	Points Awarded
1. Plan to meet service specifications adequately (Please address the scoring of this item in your comments)	<u>0-18</u>	
2. Evaluation Plan for staff	<u>0-2</u>	
3. Staff Training	<u>0-4</u>	
4. Volunteer and Training Plans	<u>0-2</u>	
5. Secure Record Keeping/Confidentiality	<u>0-2</u>	
 Contribution Opportunity & Written Method for collecting and recording contributions 	<u>0-2</u>	
7. Grievance and Complaint Procedure	<u>0-2</u>	
8. Denial of Services	<u>0-2</u>	
9. Inclement Weather Policy	<u>0-2</u>	
10. Local Citizen Input & Outreach Plan	<u>0-2</u>	
11. Plan for reach low-income minority persons	<u>0-2</u>	
12. Drug Free Work Environment Policy	<u>0-2</u>	
	TOTAL	

Please comment on the scores in this section.